

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/849,818	SANDHU ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ronald Baum	2136	

All Participants:

(1) Ronald Baum.

(2) Alfred Stadnicki.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2006

Time: 13:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1,9,10,17

Prior art documents discussed:


n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed how the claim language phrase "MAC'd" is not proper and could be interpreted as confusing. Mr. Stadnicki agreed to changing to "message authenticated coded".

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)